THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS 2017 SCREENING MATRIX

1. CASE DE	ETAILS			
Case Reference	P22/S4021/SCR	Brief description of the project /	EIA Screening Request for Clifton Hampden Neighbourhood Development Order comprising residential, medical facility, cemetery and ecological enhancement. The development comprises two parcels of land:	
Applicant	Clifton Hampden Parish Council c/o Clifton Hampden Neighbourhood Plan Group			
LPA	South Oxfordshire District Council	•	Parcel A (3.2 hectares approx.) and Parcel B (1.5 hectares approx). Total site area is 4.7 hectares approx.	
2. EIA DET	AILS			
	t Schedule 1 development acc f the EIA Regulations?	cording to	No	
If YES, which	description of development ((THEN GO TO Q4)	N/A	
Is the project Schedule 2 development under the EIA Regulations?			Yes	
If YES, under which description of development in Column 1 and Column 2?			It is an urban development project (10. (b)).	
Is the development within, partly within, or near a 'sensitive area' as defined by Regulation 2 of the EIA Regulations?			No – the site is not within a SSSI or European Site, it is not in a National Park, or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. It is not within a World Heritage Site or Scheduled Monument.	
If YES, which	area?			
Are the applicable thresholds/criteria in Column 2 exceeded/met?			No – the site is an urban development project below the Schedule 2 threshold. It is a residential project for fewer than 150 projects and the total site area does not exceed 5 hectares.	
If yes, which applicable threshold/criteria?			N/A	
3. LPA/SO	S SCREENING			
Has the LPA or SoS issued a Screening Opinion (SO) or Screening Direction (SD)? (In the case of Enforcement appeals, has a Regulation 37 notice been issued)		Enforcement	No	
If yes, is a co	py of the SO/SD on the file?		N/A	
If yes, is the	SO/SD positive?		N/A	

THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS 2017 SCREENING MATRIX

4. ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT Has the appellant supplied an ES for the current or previous (if reserved matters or conditions) application?

WHEN COMPLETING THIS DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO AN ENFORCEMENT APPEAL, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICER HAS HAD REGARD TO THE PROJECT AS ALLEGED IN THE RELEVANT ENFORCEMENT NOTICE WHEN REFERING TO THE PROJECT / DEVELOPMENT.

Planning Policy Guidance Advises:

Projects which are wholly outside sensitive areas and do not exceed the revised screening thresholds are not Schedule 2 development and should not be screened by the local planning authority.

Paragraph: 061 Reference ID: 4-061-20170728

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) - Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (<u>only if Yes in part 2a</u>) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
	Briefly explain answer to Part 2a and, if applicable and/or known, include name of feature and proximity to site (If answer in Part 2a / 2b is 'No', the answer to Part 3a / 3b is 'N/A')	Is a significant effect likely, having regard particularly to the magnitude and spatial extent (including population size affected), nature, intensity and complexity, probability, expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact and the possibility to effectively reduce the impact? If the finding of no significant effect is reliant on specific features or measures of the project envisaged to avoid, or prevent what might otherwise have been, significant adverse effects on the environment these should be identified in bold .	
1. NATURAL RESOURCES			
1.1 Will construction, operation or decommissioning of the project involve actions which will cause physical changes in the topography of the area?			
1.2 Will construction or operation of the project use natural resources above or below ground such as land, soil, water, materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply?			
1.3 Are there any areas on/around the location which contain important, high quality or scarce resources which could be affected by the project, e.g. forestry, agriculture, water/coastal, fisheries, minerals?			

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
2. WASTE			
2.1 Will the project produce solid wastes during construction or operation or decommissioning?			
3. POLLUTION AND NUISANCES			
3.1 Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air?			
3.2 Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light, heat, energy or electromagnetic radiation?			
3.3 Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea?			
3.4 Are there any areas on or around the location which are already subject to pollution or environmental damage, e.g. where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded, which could be affected by the project?			
4. POPULATION AND HUMAN HEAL	тн		
4.1 Will there be any risk of major accidents (including those caused by climate change, in accordance with scientific knowledge) during			

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) - Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (<u>only if Yes in part 2a</u>) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
construction, operation or decommissioning?				
4.2 Will the project present a risk to the population (having regard to population density) and their human health during construction, operation or decommissioning? (for example due to water contamination or air pollution)				
5. WATER RESOURCES				
5.1 Are there any water resources including surface waters, e.g. rivers, lakes/ponds, coastal or underground waters on or around the location which could be affected by the project, particularly in terms of their volume and flood risk?				
6. BIODIVERSITY (SPECIES AND H	IABITA [.]	TS)		
which are designated or classified for their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, or any non-designated / non-classified areas which are important or sensitive for reasons of their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, located on or around the location and which could be affected by the project? (e.g. wetlands, watercourses or other water-bodies, the coastal zone, mountains, forests or				

Question	and ex	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
woodlands, undesignated nature reserves or parks. (Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local))).					
6.2 Could any protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna which use areas on or around the site, e.g. for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, over-wintering, or migration, be affected by the project?					
7. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL					
7.1 Are there any areas or features on or around the location which are protected for their landscape and scenic value, and/or any non-designated / non-classified areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or around the location which could be affected by the project?¹ Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).					
7.2 Is the project in a location where it is likely to be highly visible to many people? (If so, from where, what direction, and what distance?)					

¹ See question 8.1 for consideration of impacts on heritage designations and receptors, including on views to, within and from designated areas.

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) - Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)
8. CULTURAL HERITAGE/ARCHAEC	LOGY	
8.1 Are there any areas or features which are protected for their cultural heritage or archaeological value, or any non-designated / classified areas and/or features of cultural heritage or archaeological importance on or around the location which could be affected by the project (including potential impacts on setting, and views to, from and within)? Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).		
9. TRANSPORT AND ACCESS		
9.1 Are there any routes on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities, which could be affected by the project?		
9.2 Are there any transport routes on or around the location which are susceptible to congestion or which cause environmental problems, which could be affected by the project?		
10. LAND USE		
10.1 Are there existing land uses or community facilities on or around the location which could be affected by the		

Question	and e	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) - Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (<u>only if Yes in part 2a</u>) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
project? E.g. housing, densely populated areas, industry / commerce, farm/agricultural holdings, forestry, tourism, mining, quarrying, facilities relating to health, education, places of worship, leisure /sports / recreation.					
10.2 Are there any plans for future land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project?					
11. LAND STABILITY AND CLIMATE					
11.1 Is the location susceptible to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, or extreme /adverse climatic conditions, e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause the project to present environmental problems?					
12. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS					
12.1 Could this project together with existing and/or approved development result in cumulation of impacts together during the construction/operation phase?					
13. TRANSBOUNDARY EFFECTS					
13.1 Is the project likely to lead to transboundary effects? ²					

² The Regulations require consideration of the transboundary nature of the impact. Due to the England's geographical location the vast majority of TCPA cases are unlikely to result in transboundary impacts.

1. CONCLUSIONS - ACCORDING TO EIA REGULATIONS SCHEDULE 3

EIA not required

2. SCREENING DECISION		
If a SO/SD has been provided do you agree with it?	N/A	
Is it necessary to issue a SD?	No	
Is an ES required?	No	
3. ASSESSMENT (EIA REGS SCHEDULE 2 DEVELOPMENT)	OUTCOME	
Is likely to have significant effects on the environment	ES required	No
Not likely to have significant effects on the environment	ES not required	Yes
More information is required to inform direction	Request further info	N/A

NAME	William Sparling
DATE	23/11/2022