

Analysis of Responses to Neighbourhood Plan Questionnaire 2018								
1. Which age group do you belong to?								
	14-18	19-21	22-40	41-65	66-74	75+	n/a	Total
	1	1	5	53	35	23	1	119
2. Are you?								
	Male	Female	n/a	Total				
	57	61	1	119				
3. Do you?								
	Live in the parish	Work in the parish	Both	n/a	Total			
	109	2	5	3	119			
4. How many children are there in your household under 14yrs old and what are their ages								
	0-12m	13-36m	3-4yrs	5-10yrs	11-13yrs	n/a	Total	
Number of children	14	2	3	14	5	91	38	wondering how many people ticking 0-12 thinking no. of children rather than age; i.e. someone who ticked 75+ also ticked 0-12m...!
5. How do you usually travel to your main place of work / study?								
	Work at home	Walk	Cycle	Car	Van	Train	Other	n/a Total
	15	3	2	44	7		9	40 119
								one person ticked twice
6. How far do you travel to your main place of work / study?								
	Work / study at home	1-5 miles	6-15 miles	16-30 miles	Over 30 miles	n/a	Total	
	19	17	17	6	13	47	119	
7. How many years have you lived in the Parish?								
	<2yrs	2-5yrs	6-10yrs	11-20yrs	21-30yrs	31+yrs	n/a	Total
	7	6	9	19	18	59	1	119
8. What is your housing tenure?								
	Owned	Shared ownership	Private rented	Social rented	Rent free	n/a	Total	

Housing Needs Analysis

8. What is your housing tenure?						
Owned	Shared ownership	Private rented	Social rented	Rent free	n/a	Total
99	4	4	6	4	2	119

13. What are acceptable uses for land WITHIN the Parish Built Environment?							
	Very acceptable	Acceptable	Neutral	Not acceptable	Strongly unacceptable	n/a	Total
New housing development	1	40	24	26	25	3	119

14. What are suitable uses for land OUTSIDE the Parish built environment?							
	Very acceptable	Acceptable	Neutral	Not acceptable	Strongly unacceptable	n/a	Total
New housing in M40/A40 corridor	3	15	33	33	32	5	119
New housing anywhere		10	42	35	27	5	119

15. There is a need for new dwellings in the Parish				
Yes	No	Neutral	n/a	Total
48	36	26	9	119

16. If new dwellings were built, which types would be suitable?							
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	n/a	Total
Affordable housing for those with a local connection	45	51	13	3	2	5	119
Housing for rent	8	38	35	25	6	7	119
Social housing	12	26	37	27	11	6	119
Retirement or sheltered housing / care home	17	42	39	14	3	4	119
Small houses / starter homes for purchase (!-2 bed)	26	58	19	7	5	4	119
Family homes for purchase (3-4 bed)	6	44	32	23	9	6	119
Larger homes for purchase	2	11	17	29	21	39	119
Flats for rent or purchase	3	25	35	28	20	9	119

Use of efficient energy & eco-friendly technology	30	50	24	5	3	7	119
Have private or communal gardens	22	46	33	5	5	8	119
Have off-street parking	45	48	13	4	2	7	119
Be limited to 2 stories	56	40	14	2	2	5	119
17. What type of development is appropriate to accommodate new homes							
	Very acceptable	Acceptable	Neutral	Not acceptable	Strongly unacceptable	n/a	Total
1-2 dwellings, filling gaps between housing in existing built areas	31	57	12	10	4	5	119
A range of small-scale developments (4-9 units)	2	51	28	19	12	7	119
Medium scale developments 10 – 50 units)	1	8	17	41	48	5	119
Larger development	1	3	7	22	82	4	119
18. Which of the following are suitable locations for new homes?							
	Very acceptable	Acceptable	Neutral	Not acceptable	Strongly unacceptable	n/a	Total
Brownfield land	40	51	15	2	6	5	119
Garden land	7	34	28	23	22	5	119
Greenfield land		13	27	28	45	6	119
Infill spaces within the village	10	60	25	13	6	5	119
Vacant buildings	21	64	26	3		5	119
Edge of village extensions		29	34	24	27	5	119

5a. Other

Retired.
Part time voluntary work.
Retired.
Retired.
Retired; use bus and car.
Drive to Park & Ride then walk to work.
Retired
Retired
Retired
Car or bus
Retired
Retired
Retired
Retired
Retired
Retired
Retired
Retired

10a. Other facilities that affect your life in the parish:

Positive: Good neighbours.
Village coffee mornings at Cricket Club.
Rural area at the moment.
Quiet
Some community get togethers
Friendly village
All in village social/community events

Negative: Lack of local shop.
Lack of a mini-shop.
State of main A418.

Speed of very heavy lorries vastly increased over last few years. Road surface in need of repair.
Road noise M40.
Lack of controlled crossing on main road.
Very poor mobile phone coverage.
Volume of traffic
Poorly run pub, no community spirit.
We hear the M40 traffic noise most of the time
Lorries going to Wormingshall ...
Aircraft noise

High traffic on A40 when there is an accident or junction closure on M40

Noise from M40, traffic through village when major roads blocked
Poorly run local pub
Too much traffic on smaller roads - lorries parked in laybys
Volume of traffic on A418
Dog fouling / parking on footways

Sick of lorries going to Worminghall Park. They are damaging the road and bridges

Noise from A418 and unrepaired surface faults
The roads (A148 in particular) are very unsafe for cycling
Road maintenance

Lack of pellicant crossing for elderly and children; lack of cycle track
Amount of traffic using A418 through the village

11a. Other potential services or facilities important to the local community

Zebra crossing outside pub
Good pub where locals go
Changes of agricultural buldings to domestic houses

We definitely need a crossing on the main road - it is very dangerous at the moment and will probably get worse with Thame development.
Some minimal street lighting for old people

Additional facilities for kids - cycle track round edge of rec? With bumps for interest?
A safe/suitable footpath and crossing given the main road
I assume the importance above is to me, not the community
Off road parking
Decent road surfaces - i.e. road upto church
Additional litter bins / more litter picking
Dedicated cycle track from Tiddington to link with the current track between Thame and North Weston ...

12. A number of heritage buildings in the Parish are protected by Listed Building status, but there is no Conservation Area. Which settings, structures or spaces, in your opinion, have local heritage value?

Church and old vicarage.
Church and churchyard.

Pub, cottages in Albury, Hill Cotage & Tiddington House if not already listed.
The Fox and Goat, Albury Church
Victorian houses, Albury View
Listing trees

The White House, The Forge, The Old School, The old Post Office, the Woods.
Church

The dismantled railway line which was very important in its day and more use should be made of it today with information boards stating its history.
Fernhill woods
Church

The vicarage, Tiddington garage, Fox & Goat, Cholsey Cottage, Sandy Lane Farm, Manor Farmhouse
Manor, pub, church & Albury House; various other buildings
Thatched property in Albury View
None

Church, pub, thatched cottages, farm house, Tiddington House, old cottages, village hall, vicarage

Route of roman road, stie of 2 windmills, 1, Albury & 1 ...
Fernmill Woods, Sandy Lane Farm, Fox & Goat

Fernhill Woods, difficult to comment without knowing which buildings are protected
Albury Church

The forest that spans between the top of Albury View to Albury (church area)
Albury Church, old housing in Albury View, ground behind Albury View should be conserved - too much development
Village hall, pub and cricket club
Tiddington Cricket Club

Hill Cottage, Tudor Cottage, Tiddington House, the Chruch, Rectory and Fox & Goat

Fox & Goat, Rectory, Tiddington House, Tudor Cottage, Hill Cottage

Buildings in Albury and 2/3 in Albury View otherwise there are no other of any value except the pub
The old railway bridges, St Helen's Church
The church.

Those properties that are hertiage have been built around as ongoing ... to further development is required to balance old and new
Village Hall, Pub, Cricket Club, Playing Field
Ickford Bridges
Church & Old Vicarage
The pub - listed building

Albury, end of Albury View furthest from A418, cricket club & pitch
Cricket field, upper Albury View, Albury
The land between the village and Albury Church
Play area, Fox & Goat, Ickford Bridge, Old Railway Bridge

The beautiful countryside around Sandy Lane Farm, Albury & Rycote Woods

Cricket ground, Queen Ane House, Albury View, Fox & Goat Inn, St. Helen's Church, Albury
Rycote Chapel, Lake
Fox and Goat
Fox & Goat
The open fields surrounding the village
Albury Church

Ickford Road Bridge, public house Fox & Goat, Old Railway Line Garage
St. Helen's Church, Albury
Cricket club; village hall
Village playing field

The Oxfordshire Way between Albury and Rycote Chapel is particularly specia; the oak by Albury and the bluebells in Fernhill Wood
Albury as a settlement

None apart from Albury Church and 16th century Fox & Goat Public House
None
The Fox & Goat; Ickford bridges

13a. Other acceptable uses for land WITHIN the Parish Built Environment?

Should continued to be used as farm land only.

It's a village, that's why people live here.

New housing is needed for low cost.

The questionnaire should be to retain rural status (not authority to build)

Village shop / PO

(New houses) Bungalows rather than houses as they have less impact regarding height; small horticultural site for fresh veg

This village has not had a shop or facilities for some years. In Ireland in villages most pubs it is possible to buy basic food stuffs

New housing should be integrated into villages not stand-alone dormitories

14a. What are suitable uses for land OUTSIDE the Parish built environment?

Again, a question of scale: I am very accepting of small solar arrays - or on south facing fencing of M40

Nature reserve open to the public

Retirement homes with either individual gardens or green acres to separate the houses

Water turbines on River weirs to make the most of natural resources when producing electricity to minimise carbon footprint

16a. Other types of new dwellings which should be built in the Parish

Pre-fabs for young couples.

Bungalows for purchase

Too many ... in this area runinig village life, we should stay small otherwise it is gone forever

None

None it's a village!!

It is so important that young people who want to live here, having grown up here, should be able to afford to do so.

Any dwellings should be built to a decent standard, with as minimal environmental impact as possible - as a perference

Prefer no building as it's a village. No need to build here (go to London, Birmingham or Manchester)

Family homes with own drive and garage

2 story flats but must have extra parking or make maisonette, look like houses and blend in better.

Not too many 4 bed homes.

Bungalows for old generation but not necessarily sheltered/care homes

Single storey dwellings to allow for downsizing and thus staying in the parish

Conversion of agricultural and industrial buildings no longer in use

20a. Other local business growth barriers

People who move here do not do it for work needs.

Not appropriate for village life

Bad mobile signal

"None"

"No"

21a. Suggested locations for additional business premises in and around the Parish

Thame or Oxford. Resite gypsy camp.

None as a village not a town.

What is not understood about a village vs town

None - it's a village not a town

23a. Other comments on traffic, transport, infrastructure and environmental concerns:

Footpath from Rycote Lane to Albury is overgrown along the side of Erahill Wood. Rycote Estate owners are anti-walkers.

The A418 is in dire need of resurfacing; last done 20 plus years ago.

An increase in large very heavy lorries very noticeable unfortunately. Not a lot of notice taken of 30 mph sign especially in early hours or late at night. We need a bypass!

Zebra crossing at pub; Ickford Road & Albury View needs street lighting.

The extra building going on everywhere is increasing traffic. It used to take 25 mins to go to Abingdon it now takes 45 mins.

Footpaths - well maintained, could be signposted or marked better

Large trucks going too fast through village, fully loaded width

There is a lot of traffic on A418 & A329; it takes quite a while to cross over and enter road e.g. 3 pigeons to A329, also Sandy Lane to A418

Gravel extraction

Issues with pot holes & narrow roads would not support extra traffic. Issues with speeding cars on the A418.

Crossing 418; crossing by the Fox & Goat for children and elderly

We need a safe method of crossing the A418 with young children

With increasing traffic on the A418, Tiddington urgently needs a crossing

A safe crossing on the A418 urgently needed

Traffic lights / roundabout at Ickford Rd and main A418 road; Traffic calming (humps) along Ickford Rd as cars come from Ickford direction frequently speeding

Tiddington needs a controlled crossing at the main road near the bus stops

Poor road surfaces & pot holes - Ickford Road and road leading to St. Helen's Church

Public transport may be adequate now - but if future developments includes ... housing, may not be

Zebra or pelican crossing at Fox & Goat area across the A418

Maybe a zebra crossing on the A418 in the middle of the village

Roundabout or traffic lights @ Ickford Road-Main Road-Albury View; cycle route? How would it be built? No good on current pathways. Possible use of old rail track to cyclists who wish to travel from Thame directon to Wheatley.

More to be done to repara roads

Especially for prams, scooters and mobility vehicles, at the moment one takes ones life in ones hands!

More refure's at each end of village to slow traffic coming in and going out of village (also stop overtaking before leaving village)

The noise and light pollution has increased substantially - new development should minimise these

Adequate as present apart from lack of pedestrian crossing on A418

I do have concerns that should a pelican type crossing be installed near the pub that HGVs would be able to stop quick enough & therefore cause an accident

Speed controls need improvement

24a. Other important issues

We already have a large poplation in Bicester and Didcot. The surrounding villages are being spoiled, how long to we have to go on like this? It is spoiling everything. It is not providing homes for local people it's all for commuters.

Such a large development would be a nightmare for schools, hospitals and doctors
I am moving out.

Beside the M40 is an unsuitable building site - and the scale of proposed town is totally inappropriate for the area. Perhaps 20 or 30 houses would be good but thousands or hundreds of homes will create an urban development which would be totally devastating to this rural part of Oxfordshire.

Encourage developments away from the south-east, make better use of existing sites
Local bus service for OAP

Moving 20,000+ people & 15,000+ cars would not benefit the parish

- How the development affects flood plains - How sustianable the development/housing is - Provision for green areas within the development

The concept is wrong (wrong location). Traffic will ruin area. Take it to existing town.

Traffic congestion or weeks
It depends if public transport will improve

With the development at Chagrove not proceeding it is likely that more focus will be given to the J7 option, this is of concern/worry.

Possible severe road congestion from additional traffic; noise pollution, dust pollution on windy days

A new town is preferable to additional small housing additions to a village which has already had lots of small development

More information regarding maps would have been helpful

26a. What are your reasons for this sub-route?

S1: Our roads not big enough to take a bigger volume of heavy good traffic.

S1: S1 is already dual carriageway. S2 could adversely affect Shotover & Otmoor. Route via Thame has no existing roads anywhere near sufficient, therefore it will destroy much more countryside.

S1: Avoids our village and Otmoor Reserve

Do not understand this question.

S1: A34 needs upgrading. Would link up the new rail network with minimal damage to Green Belt & conservation land & villages.

S1: A34 needs upgrading and that route is least invasive & ...

S1: Avoid Thame! Too much traffic.

S1: The road is already existing and just needs upgrading, as the A34 needs to be. I think it's wrong to eat up the countryside for more roads and cause noise & pollution in the surrounding villages. Why expressway? Why not new roads?

S1: It is the most obvious route.

n/a: Thame is too busy to interfere with.

n/a: It is totally inappropriate to build any new roads, if we must widen roads in place, so be it. But, we should be travelling by rail, and the Oxford-Cambridge railway is being improved as we speak.

S1: Avoid new roads on green land at all costs. New roads draw in yet more development.

S1: Keep away from the village

S1: This should be closer to the current road network so should be less disruptive

S3: Least disruption of built up areas

S1: Neither route runs through our parish or home

S1: Upgrade the existing roads not building new ones and destroying countryside

S1: Least disruption to Greenfield

S1: Minimise disruption by using E-W rail corridor and building on A34 route

S2: The main roads in Oxford need development anyway in terms of efficiency & capacity. This would give a boost in their priority and funding

S1: Minimise disruption by using E-W rail corridor and building on A34 route

S1: Kidlington route is already built up, less distruction

S1: This area needs attention as traffic already a problem. Would not damage environment as much as virgin southern routes.

None at all

S1: It must be easier to improve existing roads rather than build new

S1: They are furthest from my house!

S1: No impact on our village

S1: Not near Tiddington

S1: Less impact on the villages

S1: Less impact on the parish

S1: No impact on the village community

S1: The further away the better

S1/S2: Routes S3 & S4 are far too close to us

S1: Furthest away from my property & development of A34 seems more sustainable and sensible

S1: Uses existing corridor routes. Better links between road & rail

S1: Better to upgrade existant roads A34, A43 linking to A19, brings Northampton and Milton Keynes in as well.

S1: This would make better use of already established roads with less impact on the surrounding environment

S1: Route already exists but will need improving. Parish would be 'trapped' by HS2 + express way if other routes particularly S2/S3 are chosen. Will bring little to the parish. S3/S4 would impact most.

S1: Hopefully the route could connect up with the existing ring road on the eastern side of Oxford

S1/S2: 'A' route crosses the water meadows near Thame. Here would the flood go?

S1: A34 exists and joins the M40, there would be no disruption to the east of Oxford

S4: Joins A34 & M40

S1: Making use of existing road network which will reduce the impact on countryside and villages on the other routes

S1: We already have noise/distrupction from M40, especially when accidents happen and traffic directed along A418

S1: Environment impact

S1: Bypasses Oxford well away from the village; also has easy access to motorway

S1: Stregthening already main route keeping road growth to existing arteries

S1: Least impact to small villages

S1: Because it would be away from our village!

S1: Keep away from Tiddington

S1: They use existing roads and would cause less disruption to people and the local environment

S1: The existing A34 through to junction 9 of the M40 appears to have the least impact by a great margin

S4: S4 would link Harwell A34 to M40 and Route (A) would provide infrastructure routes for towns in Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire en route to Cambridge

S4: Protection of residential areas, green belt and Otmoor National Wetland Reserve

S1: Away from Tiddington

27a. Other future descriptions?

We do need a pedestrian crossing, on the 418. It is a very busy road, and cuts through the village. Just leave it alone.

I think that growth of settlements should be incremental, i.e. to grow at a pace that most inhabitants and incomers can accept/accommodate.

Gradual evolution is the only way to preserve the villages unique character

Eco friendly, wild life rich, strong community

For god sake isn't HS2 enough destruction for Bucks/Oxon?

Little changed! (n/b agree strongly on A rural community... but bracketed 'and work')

Cannot see where new builds will be sited; either it would be on flood plain or ... with no access

Other comments

10: Quality of mobile phone signal - "poor"

10 Internet / phone signal could be improved

Street lighting - strongly positive - "lack of is good"

Road noise "lack of" strongly positive

"Lack of road noise" strongly positive

"Definitely need mobile phone signal throughout village"

13: New housing development NEUTRAL "size dependant"; Retail facilities NEUTRAL "acceptable if village corner shop"

14. Wind turbines - "i.e. noisy"

Depends on the scale of development: Small is good, large is not!!

15: It's a village "what don't you understand" about village

16: Limited to two stories AGREE "3 stories acceptable"

17: 1-2 dwellings, Acceptable "depends on size"

Depends on space. Bungalow sold on main road to have 2x 3 bed houses on. No space for garden. Not acceptable.

22: "I have only lived in the village for 18 months"

23. Pedestrians - "main road can cause problems"

23. Pedestrians NOT SURE - "fairly"

25: (ex. Comments re routes not shown)

"Definitely not A"

"Not indicated on map, but the ?? Route, following the A34."

"No label, but the northern route = A34"

"This one" (route past J9)

"North joining A40 proposed improvement"

"Not in favour of any"

c: "Assuming Abingdon-Beckley route"

"B or C not A"

"Not route A"

"Not A"

"Favour route from Didcot to Junct 9 M40"

"I prefer the one via Cumnor and Kidlington"

"Can only find A and do not agree with it"

"Not A"

Junction 9 circled