

Delegated authority officer decision notice

Decision made by	Harry Barrington-Mountford, Policy and Programmes Service Manager
Lead officer contact details	Robyn Tobutt Senior Planning Policy Officer (Neighbourhood) Tel: 07917 088349 Email: Robyn.Tobutt@southandvale.gov.uk
Decision	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To accept all modifications recommended by the Examiner; 2. To determine that the Kidmore End Neighbourhood Development Plan, as modified, meets the basic conditions, is compatible with the Convention rights, complies with the definition of a neighbourhood development plan (NDP) and the provisions that can be made by a NDP; and 3. To take all appropriate actions to progress the Kidmore End Neighbourhood Development Plan to referendum.
Key decision? (see notes below)	No
If key decision, has call-in been waived by the Scrutiny Committee chair(s)?	N/A
Confidential decision, and if so under which exempt category?	No
Delegated authority reference from the constitution	Head of Policy and Programmes ref 3.3 (Page 178)
Risks	<p>The local community will have the opportunity to vote on the neighbourhood plan at referendum; there is a risk that the local community will vote against the plan. This risk is low given the level of support shown for the plan and detailed in the consultation statement.</p> <p>The legislation makes provision for the council's decision at this stage to be challenged via a judicial review. The process undertaken and proposed accords with planning legislation</p>
Reasons for decision	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Kidmore End Neighbourhood Development Plan (the plan) as modified by the Examiner's recommendations, has had regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State. A requirement to have regard to policies and advice does not require that such policy and advice must necessarily be followed, but it is intended to have and does have to a significant effect. A neighbourhood plan must not constrain the delivery of important national policy objectives. The principal document

in which national planning policy is contained is the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and this conclusion is reached bearing this in mind. It should be noted that the NPPF was revised on 20 July 2021. The revised NPPF replaces the previous NPPF published in March 2012, revised in July 2018 and updated in February 2019. The advice within National Planning Practice Guidance (“NPPG”) has also been borne in mind in reaching this conclusion.

2. Paragraph 13 of the NPPF is clear that neighbourhood plans should support the delivery of strategic policies contained in local plans and spatial development strategies. Qualifying bodies should plan positively to support local development, shaping and directing development in their area that is outside these strategic polices. More specifically paragraph 29 of the NPPF states that neighbourhood plans should not promote less development than set out in the strategic policies for the area, or undermine those strategic policies.
3. Beyond this, the content of a draft neighbourhood plan will determine which other aspects of national policy are or are not a relevant consideration to take into account. The basic condition allows qualifying bodies, the independent examiner and local planning authority to reach a view in those cases where different parts of national policy need to be balanced.
4. Having considered all relevant information, including representations submitted in response to the Plan, the Examiner’s considerations and recommendations, the council has come to the view that the Plan recognises and respects relevant constraints. The Plan has developed a positive suite of policies that seek to bring forward positive and sustainable development in the neighbourhood area. There is a clear focus on safeguarding its local character and valued landscapes whilst encouraging appropriate development to come forward.
5. The Plan, as modified by the Examiner’s recommendations, contributes to the achievement of sustainable development. This condition relates to the making of the plan as a whole. It does not require that each policy in it must contribute to sustainable development. Sustainable development has three principal dimensions – economic, social and environmental. It is clear that the submitted Plan has set out to achieve sustainable development in the neighbourhood area. In the economic dimension the Plan includes policies for infill residential development (Policy LCI) and proposes a housing allocation (Policy HDA). In the social role, it includes policies on road safety (Policy LTSRU) and on footpaths (Policy LTPFBT). In the environmental dimension, the Plan positively seeks to protect its natural, built and historic environment. It has specific policies on a Local Gap (Policy LPLG) and on Local Valued Landscape (Policy LPLCV).

6. As a whole, the council is satisfied that the policies in the Plan pursue net gain across each of the different dimensions of sustainability in a mutually supportive way.
7. The Plan, as modified by the Examiner's recommendations, is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the current Development Plan for the area. The Plan delivers a local dimension to the strategic context and supplements the detail already included in the adopted South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2035.
8. Kidmore End is identified as a Smaller Village and Gallowstree Common and Tokers Green are identified as Other Villages in the adopted Local Plan (Appendix 7). Cane End and Chalkhouse Green are not identified in the Settlement Hierarchy. Policies H8 (Housing in the Smaller Villages) and H16 (Infill development and redevelopment) of the Local Plan set the context for the scale and nature of new development which would be supported in smaller villages in the district. Policy H8 advises that smaller villages have no defined requirement to contribute towards delivering additional housing, however where a Parish Council wishes to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan and make housing allocations within it to support further growth, the Council will support this provided that the levels of growth are commensurate to the size of the village. The Local Plan also sets out that Other Villages will be supported by the Council in the same way that the Council would support the preparation of Neighbourhood Development Plans in Smaller Villages. The Kidmore End Neighbourhood Plan is proposing to allocate a limited number of smaller houses
9. Policy H16 in the Local Plan specifies that unallocated new residential development should be limited to infill and the redevelopment of previously developed land or buildings. It also provides specific criteria-based guidance for any new residential development which would be located behind existing frontages, or which would involve additional dwellings within an existing site. The Kidmore End Neighbourhood Plan has a complimentary policy on Infill Development (Policy LCI).
10. The Plan also contains policies on the Separation of Settlements and a Local Valued Landscape (LCSS and LPLV) to ensure that a physical and visual separation maintained between the settlements in the neighbourhood area and that the valued landscape is appropriately protected.
11. The Plan, as modified by the Examiner's recommendation, would not breach, and be otherwise incompatible with EU obligations, retained in UK law, including the following Directives: the strategic Environmental Assessment (2001/42/EC); the Environmental Impact Assessment

Directive (2011/92/EU); the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC); the Wild Birds Directive (2009/147/EC); the Waste Framework Directive (2008/98/EC); the Air Quality Directive (2008/50/EC); and the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC). In addition, no issue arises in respect of equality under general principles of EU law or any EU equality directive.

12. In order to comply with the basic condition on the European Union legislation South Oxfordshire District Council undertook a screening exercise (dated July 2020) on the need or otherwise for a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) to be prepared for the Plan. As a result of this process, it concluded that the Plan is not likely to have any significant effects on the environment and accordingly would not require SEA.

13. The Plan, as modified by the Examiner's recommendations, would not give rise to significant environmental effects on European sites. The Council screened the Plan potential impact on EU Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) and this was completed in July 2020. The HRA screening report concluded that the Plan would not have any likely significant effects on the integrity of European sites in or around South Oxfordshire, either alone or in combination with other plans or programmes and that an Appropriate Assessment is therefore not required.

14. The Plan, as modified by the Examiner's recommendations, is in all respects fully compatible with Convention rights contained in the Human Rights Act 1988. There has been full and adequate opportunity for all interested parties to take part in the preparation of the Plan and to make their comments known.

15. The Plan, as modified by the Examiner's recommendations, complies with the definition of an NDP and the provisions that can be made by an NDP. The Plan sets out policies in relation to the development and use of land in the whole of the neighbourhood area; it specifies the period for which it is to have effect and it does not include provision about development that is 'excluded development'.


16. The council is satisfied that it is not necessary to extend the referendum area beyond the boundaries of the designated plan area as they are currently defined.

17. The individual modifications proposed by the Examiner are set out in Appendix 1 alongside the council's decision in response to each recommendation and the reason for them. The Examiner's Report is available in Appendix 2.

18. The Examiner noted in his report, paragraph 7.109, that it will

	<p>be appropriate for SODC and the Parish Council to have the flexibility to make any necessary consequential changes to the general text. To ensure that the plan reads as a coherent document the qualifying body and the council have agreed factual and consequential updates. These are set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>19. The council has taken account of all of the representations received.</p> <p>20. The Counting Officer is responsible for determining the date of the referendum. The Electoral Service team advise that the referendum is planned for Thursday 28th July 2022.</p>
<p>Alternative options rejected</p>	<p>Make a decision that differs from the Examiner’s recommendation</p> <p>If the council deviates from the Examiner’s recommendations, the council is required to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify all those identified on the consultation statement of the parish council and invite representation, during a period of six weeks, 2. Refer the issue to a further independent examination if appropriate. <p>Refusing to progress the Plan</p> <p>The council can decide that it is not satisfied with the plan proposal with respect to meeting basic conditions, compatibility with Convention rights, definition and provisions of the NDP even if modified. Without robust grounds, which are not considered to be present in this case, refusing to take the Plan to a referendum could leave the Council vulnerable to a legal challenge.</p> <p><u>Reason for rejecting alternative options</u></p> <p>These options were rejected because the district council is minded to agree with all of the Examiner’s modifications and his conclusion that the Plan, as modified, meets the basic conditions and relevant legal requirements.</p>
<p>Climate and ecological implications</p>	<p>The Plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development. Sustainable development can be summarised as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.</p> <p>In terms of the climate and ecological implications the Plan contains Policy LCDPG (General Design Principles) which seeks to ensure that environmentally friendly building materials are used and renewable energy sources are provided. It also seeks to avoid the loss of vegetation, as well as seeking to avoid light pollution by minimising external light sources. The plan also seeks to preserve the rural character of the parish through the separation of settlement policy and Valued Landscape policy.</p>
<p>Legal implications</p>	<p>The process undertaken and proposed accords with planning legislation.</p>

<p>Financial implications</p>	<p>The Government makes funding available to local authorities to help them meet the cost of their responsibilities around neighbourhood planning. A total of £20,000 can be claimed for each neighbourhood planning area. In the case of neighbourhood plan reviews, a local planning authority may make only one claim for substantive modifications to a specific neighbourhood plan in their area within each 5-year window from the date that plan was first made. The council becomes eligible to apply for this additional grant once the council issue a decision statement detailing the intention to send the plan to referendum.</p> <p>The Government grant funds the process of progressing neighbourhood plans through the formal stages, including the referendum. Any costs incurred in the formal stages in excess of Government grants is borne by the council. Staffing costs associated with supporting community groups and progressing neighbourhood plans through the formal stages are funded by the council. It is expected that costs associated with progressing this neighbourhood plan can be met from with existing neighbourhood planning budget.</p>			
<p>Other implications</p>	<p>There are no other implications.</p>			
<p>Background papers considered</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kidmore End Neighbourhood Plan and supporting documents 2. National Planning Policy Framework (2021) 3. National Planning Policy Guidance (July 2014 and subsequent updates) 4. South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2035 5. South Oxfordshire District Council SEA/HRA Screening Statement 6. Representations submitted in response to the Kidmore End Neighbourhood Plan 7. Relevant Ministerial Statements 			
<p>Declarations/ conflict of interest?</p>	<p>None</p>			
<p>Consultees</p>		<p>Name</p>	<p>Outcome</p>	<p>Date</p>
	<p>Legal legal@southandvale.gov.uk</p>		<p>No comment</p>	<p>26.05.22</p>
	<p>Finance Finance@southandvale.gov.uk</p>	<p>Emma Creed</p>	<p>Amendment to financial implications</p>	<p>27.05.22</p>
	<p>HR hradminandpayroll@southandvale.gov.uk</p>		<p>No comment</p>	<p>26.05.22</p>
	<p>Climate and biodiversity climateaction@southandvale.gov.uk</p>	<p>Heather Saunders</p>	<p>No comment</p>	<p>25.05.22</p>
	<p>Equality and</p>	<p>Lynne</p>	<p>No comment</p>	<p>25.05.22</p>

	diversity equalities@sout handvale.gov.uk	Mitchell		
	Risk and insurance risk@southandv ale.gov.uk		No comment	26.05.22
	Communication s communications @southandvale. gov.uk		No comment	26.05.22
	Senior Management Team ExecutiveSuppo rtSAV@southan dvale.gov.uk	SMT	No comment	26.05.22
	Cabinet member	Cllr Simpson	No comment	20.05.22
	Ward councillors	Cllr Dragonetti	No comment	26.05.22
Decision maker's signature To confirm the decision as set out in this notice.	Signature:  Date: 07.06.2022			

Appendix 1: Examiner's recommendations

Policy/Section	Examiner's recommendations	Council's Decision	Justification/Reason
Infill Policy (LCI)	<p>In the first part of the policy delete 'only'</p> <p>Replace the opening element of the second part of the policy with:</p> <p>'Proposals for infill development should conserve the character of the relevant village and be designed to reflect the positive features that make up the character of the relevant village. In addition, they should reflect the community preferences relating to size and composition of any development site as expressed in the Household Survey and the character assessments in Appendices D1 to D6. In particular development proposals should take account of the following matters:'</p> <p>In criterion 1 replace 'appropriate smallness of any gaps' with 'the size of any gaps'</p> <p>In the third part of the policy replace 'these criteria' with 'the four criteria above' and delete 'established'</p>	Agree	The council consider the proposed modifications to the policy to be necessary to ensure that there is clarity that is required by national policy and guidance. The modifications will also ensure that it will be more practical to implement as part of the development management process.
Supporting text Separation of Settlements	<i>In paragraph 9.23 replace 'be suited to.... or Local Gap' with 'be acceptable'</i>	Agree	The council consider the proposed modifications to the supporting text to be necessary to ensure that it accurately

Policy (LCSS)			describes the relevant policy considerations for development. This will ensure it has regard to national policy and guidance.
Quiet Lanes Policy (LCQL)	<p>Replace the policy with:</p> <p>‘Development proposals should preserve, and where practicable enhance, the rural character of the lanes and roads linking the settlements in the Separation of Settlements Policy (insofar as this affects the KENDP area).</p> <p>Development proposals will be supported subject to other development plan policies provided that, individually or cumulatively, they would not result in an extended linear form of development along a road which would be out of keeping with the historic nucleated development form of the settlements.’</p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed modifications to the policy to be necessary to ensure that it has the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance.</p> <p>The proposed modifications will bring clarity that the settlements concerned are already addressed in the previous policy, improve the structure of the policy, simplify the language used, and acknowledge that not all development proposals will have the opportunity to enhance the rural character of the lanes concerned.</p>
General Design Principles Policy (LCDPG)	<p>Replace the initial part of the policy and the opening component of the second part with:</p> <p>‘Proposed development should complement, reinforce and where practicable enhance the local distinctiveness of the relevant settlement. Where appropriate, development proposals should be designed to enhance the landscape setting of these settlements. As appropriate to their scale, nature and location, development proposals should incorporate the following design considerations:’</p> <p>In criterion 2 replace ‘and styles of</p>	Agree	<p>The council agrees with the examiner that the first section of the policy which refers to other documents and policies would be more appropriate as supporting text to the policy.</p> <p>The council consider that the consolidation of the general elements of the policy is necessary so that the policy is proportionate and has the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance.</p> <p>The council consider that criterion 2 should be modified as proposed to ensure that the policy refers to land use matters.</p>

	<p>workmanship’ with ‘and vernacular building styles’</p> <p>In criterion 8 replace ‘must’ with ‘should’</p>		<p>It is also considered that the modification to criterion 8 is necessary to ensure the policy is appropriately flexible.</p>
Supporting text General Design Principles Policy (LCDPG)	<p><i>Replace paragraph 9.35 with: ‘In preparing proposals developers should have regard to the Appendices D1 to D6: Visual and Spatial Character, Chilterns Building Design Guide; the Chilterns Conservation Board Position Statement on Development Affecting the Setting of the Chilterns AONB; the AONB Management Plan 2019–24; and the National Design Guide 2019. These documents should be used to understand and interpret the key character and identify relevant design reference points.’</i></p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed modification to the supporting text to be necessary to ensure that there is the clarity that is required by national policy and to ensure the text is consistent with the proposed modifications to the General Principles Policy.</p>
Design Principles Extensions Policy (LCDPE)	<p>Replace the opening part of the policy with:</p> <p>‘In addition to meeting the criteria of Policy LCDPG proposed extensions or alterations to existing dwellings should incorporate the following design principles:’</p> <p>In criterion 2 delete ‘reasonable’</p> <p>In criterion 4 replace ‘so’ with ‘do’</p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed modifications to the policy to be necessary to correct a spelling mistake and ensure that there is the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance.</p>
Design Principles General Amenity Policy (LCDPGA)	<p>Delete the policy</p> <p><i>Change the coloured heading before 9.24</i></p> <p><i>In paragraph 9.29 delete the reference to the policy.</i></p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed deletion of this policy necessary as the amenity objectives the policy is seeking to achieve are already adequately addressed by the preceding policies in the Neighbourhood Plan, as well as policies in the Local Plan.</p>

			<p>This will ensure the plan is not unnecessarily duplicating policies.</p> <p>It is also considered that the consequential modification to the supporting text is necessary to ensure the text reflects the deletion of the policy.</p>
<p>Housing Allocation Policy (HDA)</p>	<p>Replace the opening element of the policy with:</p> <p>‘Land off Tokers Green Lane, Kidmore End (as shown on Figure insert number) is allocated for residential development of up to four open market dwellings. Proposals for the development of the site should respond positively to the following criteria:’</p> <p>In C1 and 2 replace ‘must’ with ‘should’</p> <p>Replace criterion 3 with: ‘The western and southern boundaries of the site should incorporate landscaping consistent with the that of the residential properties to the north of the site. In particular they should be designed to complement the surrounding countryside and avoid the use of close boarded fences. The use of native hedges, post and rail fences and indigenous trees will be particularly supported.’</p> <p>Replace criterion 4 with: ‘The development should include a mix of housing sizes with no more than three bedrooms in any of the</p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>The council consider the proposed modifications to the opening element of the policy necessary to ensure the policy has the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance through explicitly stating that the site is allocated.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed modifications to criteria 1 and 2 necessary to ensure the policy is appropriately flexible.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed modification to criterion 3 necessary to ensure that the policy meets the basic conditions. The proposed modifications will ensure the allocation can be sensitively incorporated into the wider landscape aligning with paragraph 176 of the NPPF which sets out that development within AONBs should be limited.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed modification to criterion 4 necessary to ensure that the proposed allocation helps to deliver the social and community objectives of the Plan. The council agrees with the examiner that in this sensitive landscape</p>

	<p>proposed dwellings and include dwellings with less than three bedrooms. All development proposals should ensure the retention of the house sizes in perpetuity through a planning condition or legal agreement as appropriate to the development concerned.'</p> <p>Replace criterion 5 with: 'The development should establish a clearly defined edge of the village and respect its relationship with the adjacent open countryside.'</p> <p>Replace criterion 6 with: 'An appropriate access to Tokers Green Lane should be provided and the dwellings should be positioned in a linear, road facing arrangement, with no back fill.'</p> <p>Delete criterion 10.</p> <p>Replace criterion 12 with: 'The overall layout, scale, landscaping and access for the site should respond positively to the Design Code in Appendix D7 of the Plan.'</p>		<p>environment it is appropriate for a proposal to contain such a restriction. This would ensure the proposal would achieve the broader land use objective contained in the neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed modification to criterion 5 necessary to ensure that the criteria is not overly restrictive and that there is the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed modification to criterion 6 necessary to ensure that the policy contains the necessary considerations to propose an allocation which is deliverable as required by national policy and guidance.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed deletion and repositioning of the text of criterion 10 into the supporting text is necessary to ensure that the policy refers to land use matters, rather than process matters.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed modification to criterion 12 necessary to ensure that there is clarity that is required by national policy and guidance.</p>
<p>Supporting text Housing Allocation Policy</p>	<p><i>Show the site on a separate map/figure in the Plan at a scale of 1;5000 (as those in Appendix A).</i></p>	<p>Agree</p>	<p>The council consider the insertion of a map/figure showing the site to be necessary so that the plan has the clarity that is</p>

<p>(HDA)</p>	<p><i>Add a new paragraph of supporting text (10.23) to read:</i></p> <p><i>‘Policy HDA sets out the detailed approach to the development of the site. It does so by reference to a series of detailed criteria. They include design and landscaping issues (1-3), the size of housing which would be supported (4) and the way in which they are arranged on the site.’</i></p> <p><i>Add a new paragraph of supporting text (10.24) to read:</i></p> <p><i>‘Criterion 4 of the policy sets out the way in which the delivered house sizes should be addressed. Plainly the details will be considered in individual applications. However, as a guide the three-bedroom houses should not exceed 102 square metres of internal floorspace.’</i></p> <p><i>Add a new paragraph of supporting text (10.25) to read:</i></p> <p><i>‘The effect on residential amenity of the construction arrangements should be carefully controlled. This process should include lorry movement, deliveries, working times, lighting and the protection of the existing boundaries to the north and east of the site (insofar as this is consistent with the approved proposals). This can best be achieved by way of the development of a</i></p>		<p>required by national policy and guidance.</p> <p>The council consider the proposed modification to the supporting text accompanying the allocation policy to be necessary to ensure the text reflects the proposed modifications of the policy.</p>
--------------	---	--	--

	<i>Construction Management Plan.'</i>		
Chilterns AONB and its Setting Policy (LPCS)	<p>Delete the policy</p> <p><i>Delete paragraph 11.14-11.18 and 11.22</i></p> <p><i>At the end of paragraph 11.21 add: In addition to national policy on this matter Policy ENV1 of the South Oxfordshire Local Plan safeguards the Chilterns AONB.</i></p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed deletion of this policy to be necessary as it duplicates national and local policy concerning the consideration of AONB and its setting. National policy and guidance sets out that policies should avoid unnecessary duplication. The policy also does not provide the clarity required by national and local policy.</p> <p>The modifications to the supporting text are considered necessary to ensure the text reflects the proposed modifications of the policy and to highlight the significance of the Chilterns AONB.</p>
Local Valued Landscape Policy (LPLV)	<p>Replace the policy with:</p> <p>'The Kidmore End Parish Local Valued Landscape is identified in Map 4. Development proposals should protect and where practicable enhance the physical and visual attributes of the character, quality and appearance of this valued landscape.</p> <p>Where development proposals are in accordance with Local Plan Policy ENV1 and this policy, any landscape mitigation measures should reflect the prevailing local vegetation pattern and where practicable support the landscape, bio-diversity and heritage objectives for the parish as set out in this Plan.'</p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed modification to the policy to be necessary to ensure it is positively prepared and proportionate as required by national policy and guidance. The proposed modification will provide clarity for development management purposes so that the decision maker can apply the policy consistency.</p>

Local Gap Policy (LPLG)	<p>Delete the policy</p> <p><i>Change the coloured heading before 9.14.</i></p> <p><i>Delete paragraphs 9.17 to 9.22.</i></p> <p><i>In paragraph 9.23 delete the two references to the Local Gap policy.</i></p>	Agree	<p>The council agree with the proposed deletion of the policy to avoid unnecessary duplication of policies that apply to the neighbourhood area, such as the Separation of Settlements Policy and Local Valued Landscape Policy, which achieve the objectives of the Local Gap Policy. Furthermore, the evidence supporting the gap does not suggest that existing national and local policies cannot handle development pressures.</p> <p>The consequential modification to the supporting text is considered necessary to ensure it reflects the deletion of the policy.</p>
Safety for All Road Users Policy (LTSRU)	<p>Replace the opening element of the second part of the policy with:</p> <p>‘As appropriate to their scale, nature and location, development proposals should address their impacts on:’</p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed modifications to the policy to be necessary to ensure that the policy has the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance. Furthermore, the modification is necessary for the purposes of simplicity and provides clarity for development management purposes.</p>
Preservation of Footpaths, Bridleways and Tracks Policy (LTPFBT)	<p>At the beginning of the second part of the policy add:</p> <p>‘As appropriate to their scale, nature and location,’</p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed modifications to the policy to be necessary to ensure that the policy has the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance and is proportionate. Furthermore, the modification is necessary for the purposes of simplicity and provides clarity for</p>

			development management purposes.
Safe Access and Parking Policy (LTSAP)	<p>Replace the policy with:</p> <p>‘Development proposals should provide off-road parking by delivering innovative and attractive arrangements which complement the character of the area and which meet the County Council’s standards.</p> <p>In particular, car parking provision should be designed to take account of the continuing lifecycle of the development.’</p> <p><i>At the end of paragraph 12.13 add: ‘The policy sets out to require that new development provides appropriate levels of off-street parking. This will reduce the potential for additional on street parking.’</i></p>	Agree	<p>The council consider the proposed modification to the format of the policy is necessary to ensure that the policy has the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance.</p> <p>The proposed modification to the policy wording is considered to be necessary to ensure that the policy avoids unnecessary duplication and has the clarity that is required by national policy and guidance.</p> <p>The council consider the modification to the supporting text to be necessary to reflects the modifications to the policy wording.</p>
Paragraph 8.4	<p><i>Replace the final sentence of paragraph 8.4 with: ‘The purpose of these policies is to guide how planning applications should be determined’</i></p>	Agree	<p>The council agree that the proposed modification is necessary so that the text sets out the development management process, providing clarity as required by national policy and guidance.</p>
Appendices D1 and D2	<p><i>In Appendix D1 and D2 replace the heading ‘Important Green Spaces’ with ‘Existing Green Spaces’</i></p>	Agree	<p>To council agree that this modification to appendices D1 and D2 would provide clarity as to the planning status of the green spaces described in the appendices.</p>
Other matters - General	<p><i>Modification of general text (where necessary) to achieve consistency with the modified policies.</i></p>	Agree	<p>The council agrees with the examiner that it may be necessary to amend the plan where</p>

	<i>Modification of general text in the earlier sections of the Plan to take account of the deletion of certain policies in the submitted Plan.</i>		consequential changes to the text are required directly as a result of the examiners recommended modifications.
--	--	--	---

Appendix 2 – Examiner’s Report

The Examiner’s Report is available here: <https://www.southoxon.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2022/05/Examiners-Report-Kidmore-End-Neighbourhood-Plan.pdf>

Appendix 3 – Consequential and/or Factual Changes

Section	Agreed change	Justification/Reason
Front Cover	Replace ‘Submission Draft (Regulation 15) June 2021’ with ‘ <i>Referendum Version, July 2022</i> ’.	Factual correction.
Contents page	Updated content and page numbering to reflect changes to plan.	Consequential amendments to be consistent with the examiner’s recommendations.
Paragraph numbering	Updated throughout where relevant.	Consequential changes to be consistent with the examiner’s recommendations.
Header	Replace ‘Submission Draft (Regulation 15) June 2021’ with ‘ <i>Referendum Version, July 2022</i> ’.	Factual correction.
Foreword	In the second paragraph replace ‘three’ with ‘ <i>four</i> ’. Add ‘ <i>Caroline Aldridge</i> ’ to members section.	Factual correction.
Section 3 – Page 10	In the first sentence in paragraph 3.1 amend the order of Kidmore End and Gallowstree Common to read: ‘ <i>Gallowstree Common, Kidmore End..</i> ’	Factual correction.

Section 3 – Page 11	Update Table 1 and Figure 1 to show housing growth ‘2011 to 2022’.	Factual update to show up to date information on housing growth.
Section 3 – Page 11	Delete: ‘Note: Indicative housing numbers for Gallowstree Common and Kidmore End were incorrectly represented in the Regulation 14 draft of the KENDP. These have now been corrected. The total for the parish has not changed.’	Factual correction to reflect up to date situation.
Section 3 – Page 11	In the final paragraph replace ‘June 2021’ with ‘May 2022’.	Factual update to show up to date information on housing growth.
Section 5 – Page 21	On Figure 10 chart replace ‘prioities’ to ‘ <i>priorities</i> ’.	Typographical correction.
Section 7 – Page 28	Paragraph 7.5: Replace ‘6%’ to ‘7.9%’.	Factual update to show up to date information on housing growth.
Section 7 – Page 29	Paragraph 7.8: In the second sentence replace ‘one additional dwelling has been approved in Kidmore End, which amounts to growth of 0.7%’, with ‘ <i>one additional dwelling has been approved and one has outline planning approval in Kidmore End, which amounts to growth of 1.5%</i> ’.	Factual update to show up to date information on housing growth.
Section 8 – Page 33	In paragraph 8.20, after the first sentence add: ‘ <i>They have been further updated following examination.</i> ’	Factual update to reflect that the policies have been amended.
Section 8 – Page 33	Update Figure 15 to delete Local Gap, Design Principles: General Amenity and Chiltern AONB and its Setting policies.	Consequential amendments to be consistent with the examiner’s recommendations relating to the deletion of the Local Gap Policy and AONB and its

		Setting Policy.
Section 9 – Page 35	In Figure 16 delete Local Gap and General Design Principles: General Amenity policies.	Consequential amendments to be consistent with the examiner’s recommendation relating to the deletion of the Local Gap Policy and General Design Principles: General Amenity Policy.
Section 9 – Page 35	In paragraph 9.5, under subheading 2 amend the text to say: <i>‘This addresses the open countryside between each settlement, the preservation of the quiet lane qualities of the linking roads and the vulnerable gap between Tokers Green and Caversham. This is supported by the Separation of Settlements Policy (LCSS) and the Quiet Lanes Policy (LCQL).’</i>	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner’s recommendation relating to the deletion of the Local Gap Policy.
Section 9 – Page 35	In paragraph 9.5, under subheading 2 amend the final sentence to say: <i>‘This is supported by the Separation of Settlements Policy (LCSS) and the Quiet Lanes Policy (LCQL).’</i> Under subheading 3 amend the final sentence to say: <i>‘This is supported by the Design Principles Policies (LCDPLG and LCDPE).’</i>	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner’s recommendation relating to the deletion of policies.
Section 9 – Page 37	In paragraph 9.23, amend the first sentence to say: <i>‘The Separation of Settlements and Quiet Lanes Policies do not seek to prevent development that may otherwise be acceptable to an AONB, its setting or the Local Valued Landscape, but to ensure that the scale, massing and</i>	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner’s recommendations relating to the deletion of the Local Gap Policy and AONB and its Setting Policy.

	<i>height of proposals do not result in the character of the physical and visual separation of settlements being undermined or the rural quiet nature of the road links between settlements being diminished.'</i>	
Section 9 – Page 38	Delete Map 2: Local gap.	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner's recommendation relating to the deletion of the Local Gap Policy.
Section 10 – Page 47	In paragraph 10.10, in the third bullet replace 'advises' with ' <i>advised</i> '.	Grammatical correction.
Section 10 – Page 48	In paragraph 10.18, in the first sentence insert ' <i>(map 2)</i> ' after CFS8.	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner's recommendation relating to the insertion of a map of CFS8.
Housing Allocation Policy (HDA)	In criterion 3 delete ' <i>the</i> ' between 'with' and 'that.	Grammatical correction to examiner's recommendation.
Section 11 – Page 50	In paragraph 11.4 replace 'Reading' with ' <i>Reading's</i> '.	Grammatical correction.
Section 11 – Page 52	In paragraph 11.11 delete: 'This is now included within the Chilterns AONB and its Setting Policy (LPCS).	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner's recommendation relating to the deletion of the Chilterns AONB and its Setting Policy.
Section 11 – Page 52	In paragraph 11.11, delete 'regarded'.	Typographical correction.
Section 11 – Page 52	In paragraph 11.12 delete the first two sentences. Move the third sentence below paragraph 11.13.	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner's recommendations.
Section 11 – Page	In paragraph 11.28 delete:	Consequential amendment to be consistent with the

57	<p>'In addition to the list of features included under Chilterns AONB and its Setting Policy (LPCS) which are present in the area,'</p> <p>Change the next sentence to:</p> <p><i>'The Local Valued Landscape is noted for its open fields (more open than those typically found in the Chiltern semi-enclosed dipslopes)'</i></p>	<p>examiner's recommendation to delete the Chilterns AONB and its Setting Policy.</p> <p>Consequential and grammatical corrections.</p>
Section 11 – Page 58	<p>In paragraph 11.30 delete:</p> <p>'Whereas the Chilterns AONB and its Setting Policy (LPCS) seeks to protect those landscape features and views within the setting of the AONB that contribute to conserving and enhancing the AONB within Kidmore End Parish,'</p>	<p>Consequential amendment to be consistent with the examiner's recommendation to delete the Chilterns AONB and its Setting Policy.</p>
List of Appendices – Page 68	<p>Insert '<i>updated February 2022</i>' to Appendix D7.</p>	<p>Factual correction to reflect update to work which took place during examination.</p>