SODC/CIL/8



SOUTH OXFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL – COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY REPRESENTATION BY BOYER PLANNING ON BEHALF OF WATES

The main theme running through Boyer's representation on behalf of Wates is that the Viability Study ('VS') did not test a scheme that reflected the particular characteristics of their proposed development at Wallingford.

Boyer's main criticism is that the VS tested larger schemes at 30 units per hectare, whereas the Wates' scheme is developed at a density of 21 units per hectare. Wates have chosen not to comply with the housing mix in the Core Strategy, focusing on large properties.

Table 1: Wates mix compared to Core Strategy

Unit type	No in Wates development	Average sq per ft per unit	% of total units	Core Strategy %
1 bed	9	565	11%	50%
2 bed	23		27%	
3 bed	29		34%	50%
4+ bed	24		28%	
	85		100%	

Nevertheless, we have tested the viability of the proposed development, using Wates' accommodation schedule. Clearly we do not have access to detailed information on the costs of the site, as Boyer have not provided these details¹.

Boyer suggest that the Wates development will incur infrastructure and go on to claim that these costs are not allowed for in the smaller appraisals. This is incorrect, as the appraisals incorporate an allowance equating to 15% of base build costs for the type of basic infrastructure required on smaller non-strategic sites. Nevertheless, we have included the full £15,000 allowance for strategic infrastructure in our appraisal.

Other appraisal inputs are consistent with those in the VS. We note that sales values in the area have increased from £305 per square foot at the time the research for the VS was undertaken to £332 per square foot in July 2015. We have run our appraisals on both bases.

The site equates to 4.06 hectares, so the benchmark land value range in the VS would be from £1.32 million to £3.05 million (£325,000 to £750,000 per hectare). However, the low density of development should be reflected in the price paid for the site, so it would be reasonable to assume that the benchmark should be at the lower end of the range.

However, the residual land value generated by the development (assuming 40% affordable housing, full CIL contribution of £150 per square metre and residual Section 106 of £1,000 per square metre) is

- £4.94 million (assuming sales values of £332 per square foot) or £1.22 million per gross ha
- £3.90 million (assuming sales values of £305 per square foot) or £0.96 million per gross ha

Even taking the lower figure, there would be a surplus of almost £1 million above the highest benchmark land value after CIL is taken into account.

A copy of the appraisal is appended.

BNP Paribas Real Estate, 27 July 2015

¹ Two workshops were held with developers during the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule consultation, but we note that Boyer or Wates did not provide any responses to our requests for information.

Wates scheme - Land at Reading Road

Summary Appraisal for Phase 1

REVENUE Sales Valuation Private flats Private houses Affordable flats Affordable houses Totals	ft² 2,034 59,170 9,553 17,012 <u>87,769</u>	Rate ft ² £332.00 £332.00 £150.00	Gross Sales 675,305 19,644,440 1,432,973 2,551,800 24,304,517	24,304,517
NET REALISATION				24,304,517
OUTLAY				
ACQUISITION COSTS Residualised Price (10.00 Acres £493,70 Stamp Duty Agent Fee Legal Fee	00.39 pAcre)	4.00% 1.00% 0.80%	4,937,004 197,480 49,370 39,496	5,223,350
CONSTRUCTION COSTS Construction Private flats Private houses Affordable flats Affordable houses Totals	ft² 2,393 59,170 11,239 17,012 89,814	Rate ft ² £108.00 £91.00 £108.00 £91.00	Cost 258,444 5,384,470 1,213,812 1,548,092 8,404,818	8,404,818
Developers Contingency		5.00%	420,241	420,241
Other Construction External works Infrastructure	85 units	15.00% 15,000.00 /un	1,260,723 1,275,000	2,535,723
Municipal Costs CIL Section 106	28,251 ft ² 85 units	13.94 pf ² 1,000.00 /un	393,819 85,000	478,819
PROFESSIONAL FEES Professional fees		10.00%	1,094,054	
MARKETING & LETTING Marketing		3.00%	609,592	1,094,054
MISCELLANEOUS FEES Profit on private Profit on affordable		20.00% 6.00%	4,063,949 239,086	609,592
FINANCE Debit Rate 7.00% Credit Rate 0.00% (No Land Construction Other Total Finance Cost		538,763 88,231 607,890	4,303,035 1,234,884	
TOTAL COSTS				24,304,516
PROFIT				1
Performance Measures Profit on Cost% Profit on GDV% Profit on NDV%		0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 6.83%		
Profit Erosion (finance rate 7.000%)		0 yrs 0 mths		